

Minutes

NORTH PLANNING COMMITTEE

11 January 2011

Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre,
High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW



HILLINGDON
LONDON

	<p>Committee Members Present: Councillors Eddie Lavery (Chairman), Alan Kauffman (Vice-Chairman), David Allam (Labour Lead), Jazz Dhillon, Michael Markham, Carol Melvin and David Payne</p> <p>LBH Officers Present: Natasha Dogra, Democratic Services Officer James Rodger, Head of Planning and Enforcement Meg Hirani, Planning, Environment and Community Services</p> <p>Also Present: Cllr Raymond Graham and Cllr Judy Kelly.</p>	
82.	<p>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (<i>Agenda Item 1</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>	Action by
83.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING (<i>Agenda Item 2</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>	Action by
84.	<p>TO SIGN AND RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (<i>Agenda Item 3</i>)</p> <p>The minutes of the meeting held on 7 December 2010 were agreed as an accurate record.</p>	Action by
85.	<p>MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR URGENT (<i>Agenda Item 4</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>	Action by
86.	<p>TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED PART 1 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THE ITEMS MARKED PART 2 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE (<i>Agenda Item 5</i>)</p> <p>It was confirmed that all items marked Part 1 would be considered in public and all items marked Part 2 would be considered in private.</p>	Action by
87.	<p>8 SUNNINGDALE AVENUE, RUISLIP 19038/APP/2010/2638 (<i>Agenda Item 6</i>)</p> <p>Two storey detached building with habitable roofspace comprising 8</p>	Action by

	<p>two-bedroom flat with associated parking and amenity space, involving enlargement of existing vehicular crossover to front and demolition of existing two storey detached building for use as Class C2 (Residential Institutions)</p> <p>In accordance with the Council's constitution a representative of the petition received in objection to the proposal was invited to address the meeting.</p> <p>Points raised by the petitioner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The style of the development was out of character with the surrounding dwellings • A flatted development would take away the sense of community of the area • The development would create a less sustainable community • Bin stores and cycle storage in the front garden would not be in keeping with the surrounding dwellings • The development would encourage on road parking as the area is privy to a residents parking scheme <p>A Ward Councillor was present and raised the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed site failed to harmonise with the surrounding area • The application is over developed. • Traffic congestion would increase in the area. <p>The applicant was not present at the meeting.</p> <p>Members asked Officers whether there was a residents parking scheme in this area, to which Officers stated that if the local knowledge displayed by residents suggests that there was an active residents parking scheme.</p> <p>Members added that should this application return to Committee an informative would be added to any approval given stating that rights to residents parking permits for this address would be withdrawn.</p> <p>Members stated that the report summed up the reasons for refusal and were concerned that further proposals may arise if this was granted.</p> <p>It was moved, seconded and was unanimously agreed that the application be refused.</p> <p>Resolved –</p> <p>That the application be refused as set out in the officer's report and addendum and with the additional informative as set out above.</p>	
88.	<p>LAND AT JUNCTION OF FIELD END ROAD/ EASTCOTE ROAD, RUISLIP 59310/APP/2010/2005 (<i>Agenda Item 7</i>)</p> <p>Replacement of the existing O2, 17.5m high streetworks pole with a 17.5m high streetworks pole, complete with three dual user antennas within a shroud, an associated radio equipment cabinet and</p>	Action by

	<p>development ancillary.</p> <p>In accordance with the Council's constitution a representative of the Conservation Area was invited to address the meeting.</p> <p>Points raised by the Conservation Area representative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Even though the application was for a replacement mast there were better locations for this mast just yards away from the current site. • The current location lead to the narrowing of the pathway at a very busy junction • There had not been a high level of dialogue between the Applicant and Officers. • Since the original mast was erected circumstances have changed which meant there were now more suitable locations for the mast. <p>Members agreed that since the original mast had been erected the circumstances had changed and more suitable locations could be found for the mast. The junction of Field End Road and Eastcote Road was a busy traffic hotspot where a narrow footpath could be dangerous.</p> <p>Resolved:</p> <p>The Committee unanimously agreed to defer this application based on the change in circumstances since the erection of the original mast.</p>	
89.	<p>LAND AT JUNCTION OF A40 AND SWAKELEYS ROAD, ICKENHAM 56342/APP/2010/2732 (<i>Agenda Item 8</i>)</p> <p>Replacement of existing 12.5 metre high monopole with a 15 metre high monopole mobile phone mast, one replacement and one additional radio equipment cabinet with ancillary works (Consultation under Schedule 2, Part 24 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995) (as amended.)</p> <p>It was moved, seconded and was unanimously agreed that the application be approved.</p> <p>Resolved –</p> <p>That the application be approved as set out in the officer's report and addendum.</p>	Action by
90.	<p>RUISLIP MANOR SPORTS & SOCIAL CLUB, GROSVENOR VALE, RUISLIP 1209/APP/2010/1839 (<i>Agenda Item 9</i>)</p> <p>Removal of existing 18m floodlighting tower and replacement with a 20m mobile telecommunications lattice tower supporting 6 radio antennas to give an overall top height of 21.3m, with other ancillary development thereto. Original floodlights to be re-located on the new tower at a height in the region of 18m.</p> <p>Members questioned how intense the new flood lights would be.</p>	Action by

	<p>Officers said the advancement of flood-lighting indicated that light scatter would be kept to a minimum and would be more efficient than the current lighting.</p> <p>It was moved, seconded and was unanimously agreed that the application be approved.</p> <p>Resolved –</p> <p>That the application be approved as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	
91.	<p>ICKENHAM CRICKET CLUB, OAK AVENUE, ICKENHAM 2556/APP/2010/2421 (<i>Agenda Item 10</i>)</p> <p>Single storey rear extension to existing pavilion with alterations to existing elevations.</p> <p>The Committee were pleased to see good use of the green belt land and wished to encourage use of green belt land for agriculture, sport and leisure activities.</p> <p>It was moved, seconded and was unanimously agreed that the application be approved.</p> <p>Resolved –</p> <p>That the application be approved as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
92.	<p>S106 QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT - UP TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2010 (<i>Agenda Item 11</i>)</p> <p>The report was noted by the Committee.</p>	Action by
93.	<p>ENFORCEMENT REPORT (<i>Agenda Item 12</i>)</p> <p>The Enforcement Report was unanimously agreed by the Committee.</p>	Action by
	<p>The meeting, which commenced at 7.00 pm, closed at 8.00 pm.</p>	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Natasha Dogra on 01895 277488. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.